

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,941	05/22/2000	HOWARD JOHN ATKINSON	S-30287A	5118
22847 7	590 12/14/2001			
SYNGENTA BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT 3054 CORNWALLIS ROAD			EXAMINER	
			KUBELIK, ANNE R	
P.O. BOX 122	57			
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-2257		27709-2257	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	M
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001	\wp

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/554,941	ATKINSON ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Annie R. Kubelik	1638		
Period fo	r Reply	cars on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C	<u> October 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
_	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
11\□ T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the he proposed drawing correction filed on	***	` '		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) ion .		

Application/Control Number: 09/554,941

Art Unit: 1638

1. Applicant's amendment of the claims in Paper No. 9, filed 15 October, 2001, makes it clear that the application is already in sequence compliance. Thus, the requirement to comply with the sequence rules is WITHDRAWN.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 3-13, and 16-17, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein comprising two anti-pathogenic proteins linked by a linker peptide, plants transformed with that nucleic acid, and a method of using it to improve pathogen resistance in a plant.

Group II, claim(s) 2 and 14, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein comprising three or more anti-pathogenic proteins linked by a linker peptide and a method of using it to improve pathogen resistance in a plant.

Group III, claim(s) 15, drawn to a fusion protein comprising two anti-pathogenic proteins linked by a linker peptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: These inventions do not constitute an advance over

Application/Control Number: 09/554,941

Art Unit: 1638

the prior art. Warren et al (WO 96/10083, sent by Applicant as part of Paper No. 3) teach a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein comprising two VIP proteins linked by a linker (pg 91, last paragraph, to pg93, paragraph 2).

These groups are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions. The first invention is distinct from invention III because the former requires isolated DNA and methods for plant transformation and regeneration not required by the latter, while the latter requires isolated proteins not required by the former. Lastly, DNA and protein differ in composition, structure and function.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. The nucleic acid of invention II requires sequences not required by invention I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, fields of search, and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/554,941

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne R. Kubelik, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5059. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm. Questions can also be addressed to the patent analyst, Dianeice Jacobs, at (703) 305-3388.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula K. Hutzell, can be reached on (703) 308-4310. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Anne R. Kubelik, Ph.D. December 5, 2001

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180-1638

Oscurd 20